Interview Summary

Application No. 08/786,533

Applicant(s)

Horwitz et al

nmary Examiner

Karen Canella

Art Unit

1642



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTC	O personnel):
(1) Karen Canella	(3)
(2) Louis Cullman	
Date of Interview Apr 2, 2003	<u> </u>
Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant	t 2) applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes	e) 🛛 No. If yes, brief description:
Claim(s) discussed: pending	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Identification of prior art discussed:	
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached	ed. g) was not reached. h) N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the gener	ral nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or
any other comments:	
After review and reconsideration, the finality of the Offic and a new Office action will be issued to address new gr	re action of Paper No. 23, mailed October 2, 2002, is withdrawn rounds of rejection.
and a new Office action will be issued to address new gr	dands of rejection.
·	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the ame	endments which the examiner agreed would render the claims o copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is
i) 🛛 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep	parate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).
INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See Malready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FR	MAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has ROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE cord of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Marin J. Ganilla Examiner's signature, if required